



## Patients with SAB Need an ID Consult

John Segreti, M.D.

Rush University Medical Center, Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, Chicago, IL 60612, USA; john\_segreti@rush.edu

Submitted: 26 May 2022, accepted: 14 June 2022, published: 30 June 2022

How to cite: Segreti, J. Patients with SAB Need an ID Consult. *Priv. Pract. Infect. Dis.*, 2022, 2(2): 6; doi:10.55636/ppid2010006.

© 2022 Copyright by Author. Licensed as an open access article using a CC BY 4.0 license.



Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia (SAB) remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the population and among hospitalized patients. Despite improvements in the management of critically ill patients with SAB and the introduction of new antibiotics, the mortality rate remains unacceptably high. Overall, an estimated 119,247 cases of SAB and 19,832 associated deaths occurred nationwide in 2017 [1]. Additionally, a number of uncertainties remain. Many clinicians still feel it is necessary to combine vancomycin or a beta-lactam antibiotic with either rifampin or gentamicin. However, a prospective randomized trial of 236 patients with S. aureus bacteremia and endocarditis demonstrated that daptomycin monotherapy was not inferior to low-dose gentamicin plus an anti-staphylococcal penicillin or vancomycin; those in the standard therapy arm experienced significantly more renal impairment than those in the daptomycin arm [2]. An investigation of the safety data from the trial noted a significantly greater reduction in creatinine clearance among those who received initial low-dose gentamicin than those who did not (22 versus 8%, respectively) [3].

There appears to be no role for adjunctive rifampin in the treatment of SAB. In a randomized trial, including more than 700 adults with SAB randomized to treatment with standard therapy plus rifampin (600 or 900 mg per day, administered orally or intravenously) or placebo, no significant difference in mortality or bacteriologic failure was observed after 12 weeks (17 versus 18%; hazard ratio: 0.96; CI: 0.68–1.35) [4]. In addition, patients treated with rifampin were more likely to display adverse drug interactions than those who received the placebo. The addition of rifampin and gentamicin is still recommended for the treatment of staphylococcal PVE, but the data to support this practice are weak, and recent studies suggest that neither gentamicin nor rifampin improve outcomes for staphylococcal PVE [5,6].

Laboratory data suggest that combining bactericidal antibiotics, such as vancomycin or daptomycin, with beta-lactams improves bacterial killing. Unfortunately, the putative benefit of combination therapy has not translated into a consistent improvement in clinically significant outcomes in actual patients. In fact, recent prospective cohort studies and randomized controlled trials have

shown that combination therapy may result in increased side effects and costs with only marginal clinical benefits [7].

However, one finding that seems consistent is that an ID consult improves patient outcomes, such as mortality, length of hospital stay and drug toxicity. In this issue of PPID, Killblane et al. add more credibility to this hypothesis [8]. How does an ID consult improve outcomes? Perhaps it is that we have a lot more respect for SAB than many of our non-ID colleagues, and we monitor patients more closely. We are also more likely to push to remove foreign bodies, drain abscesses and achieve source control more frequently and faster than non-ID clinicians. We are also more likely to treat a single positive blood culture for SA and not discount it as a contaminant, as well as being more likely to routinely search for IE.

A bothersome finding in this study is that an ID consult was associated with a higher incidence of acute kidney injury (AKI), although mortality was lower. This is probably related to the fact that ID clinicians are more likely to see sicker patients who are at an inherently greater risk for AKI. Additionally, ID specialists may be more likely to adhere to guidelines that call for maintaining relatively high vancomycin levels. Regardless of the reason, this is a trade-off most people would willingly accept.

Studies such as that by Killblane et al. can be used by ID physicians to convince administrators at their institutions that an infectious disease consult is a low-cost but high-impact intervention that will improve patient outcomes. We should vigorously lobby the healthcare institutions we work at to mandate ID consults for all patients with SAB.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

## References

- Kourtis, A.P.; Hatfield, K.; Baggs, J.; Mu, Y.; See, I.; Epson, E.; Nadle, J.; Kainer, M.A.; Dumyati, G.; Petit, S.; et al. Vital Signs: Epidemiology and Recent Trends in Methicillin-Resistant and in Methicillin-Susceptible Staphylococcus aureus Bloodstream Infections—United States. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* 2019, *68*, 214–219. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cheng, A.; Vg, F.; Hw, B.; Gr, C.; E, A.; Aw, K.; Me, R.; Dp, L.; Hf, C.; Fp, T.; et al. Faculty Opinions recommendation of Daptomycin versus standard therapy for bacteremia and endocarditis caused by Staphylococcus aureus. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2006, 355, 653–665. [CrossRef]
- Cosgrove, S.E.; Vigliani, G.A.; Campion, M.; Fowler, J.V.G.; Abrutyn, E.; Corey, G.R.; Levine, D.P.; Rupp, M.E.; Chambers, H.F.; Karchmer, A.W.; et al. Initial Low-Dose Gentamicin for *Staphylococcus aureus* Bacteremia and Endocarditis Is Nephrotoxic. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2009, 48, 713–721. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Thwaites, G.E.; Scarborough, M.; Szubert, A.; Nsutebu, E.; Tilley, R.; Greig, J.; Wyllie, S.A.; Wilson, P.; Auckland, C.; Cairns, J.; et al. Adjunctive rifampicin for Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia (ARREST): A multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* **2018**, *391*, 668–678. [CrossRef]
- Ramos-Martínez, A.; Serrano, A.M.; González, A.D.A.; Muñoz, P.; Fernández-Cruz, A.; Valerio, M.; Fariñas, M.C.; Gutiérrez-Cuadra, M.; Miró, J.M.; Ruiz-Morales, J.; et al. Gentamicin may have no effect on mortality of staphylococcal prosthetic valve endocarditis. *J. Infect. Chemother.* 2018, 24, 555–562. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Le Bot, A.; Lecomte, R.; Gazeau, P.; Benezit, F.; Arvieux, C.; Ansart, S.; Boutoille, D.; Le Berre, R.; Chabanne, C.; Lesouhaitier, M.; Dejoies, L.; et al. Is Rifampin Use Associated With Better Outcome in Staphylococcal Prosthetic Valve Endocarditis? A Multicenter Retrospective Study. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2021, *72*, e249–e255. [PubMed]

- Suarez, J.F.; OngUti, S.; Marisa, H. Select controversies in the management of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia: Answers and remaining questions from recent evidence. *Fac. Rev.* 2021, *10.* [CrossRef] [PubMed]
  Killblane, T.; Ablah, E.; Okut, H.; Bricker, L.; Assi, M. Impact of Infectious Disease Consultation on *S. aureus* Bacteremia Mortality. *Priv. Pract. Infect. Dis.* 2022, *in press.*